

FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
JUN 18 2008	
CLERK'S OFFICE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
RENO, NEVADA, U.S.A.	
CLERK	DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 FAYE WILLIAMS, } 2:06-CV-858-BES-RJJ
9 Plaintiff, }
10 v. } ORDER
11 CREDIT ONE FINANCIAL, et al. }
12 Defendants. }
13

14 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate
15 Judge (#69) ("Recommendation") entered on May 13, 2008, in which the Magistrate Judge
16 recommends that this Court enter an order granting Defendant's Motion for Sanctions (Doc
17 #55) and this case be dismissed with prejudice. No objection to the Report and
18 Recommendation has been filed.

19 **I. DISCUSSION**

20 This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
21 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (2005). Further, under 28
22 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), if a party makes a timely objection to the magistrate judge's
23 recommendation, then this Court is required to "make a de novo determination of those
24 portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."¹ *Id.* Nevertheless,
25 the statute does not "require[] some lesser review by [this Court] when no objections are filed."
26 *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). Instead, under the statute, this Court is not
27

28 ¹ For an objection to be timely, a party must serve and file it within 10 days after being served with the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (2005).

1 required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."
 2 Id. at 149. Similarly, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to
 3 review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed.
 4 See United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard
 5 of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which
 6 no objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D.
 7 Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that
 8 district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection.").
 9 Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this Court may
 10 accept the recommendation without review. See e.g., Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d at 1226
 11 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was
 12 filed).

13 In this case, Plaintiff has not filed an objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and
 14 Recommendation. Although no objection was filed, this Court has reviewed the Report and
 15 Recommendation, and accepts it. Accordingly,

16 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and
 17 Recommendation (#69) entered on May 13, 2008, is adopted and accepted without
 18 modification. Thus, in accordance with the Report and Recommendation,

19 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Sanctions (Doc #55) is
 20 GRANTED and this case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. The Clerk of the Court shall
 21 enter judgment accordingly.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: This 17TH day of June, 2008.

24
 25
 26
 27
 28



24
 25
 26
 27
 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE